

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70724 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-925 Year-2022 Thana- NAGAR District- Vaishali

Chedi Sahni @ Prashant Kumar @ Chhedi Sahni @ Vishal Kumar Son of
Late Bachcha Sahni R/O-Village - Narwas Chouk, Anndarkila , Bharat Bharti
School ke Picche , Machhua Toli, P.S.- Hajipur Town , District - Vaishali
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hemant Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 24-01-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with with
S. Tr. No. 563 of 2023 arising out of Hajipur Town P.S. Case
No. 925 of 2022 for the offence under sections 302, 201 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code lodged on 11.12.2022 by the
informant, Amarjit Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant has
alleged that his brother(deceased) had given loan of Rs. 30,000/-
to Manish Kumar. As he was demanding the amount, on
10.12.2022, the allegation is that he was taken away by Manish
Kumar to Hariharnath Temple by the boat on the pretext of
returning the amount but got a call from his brother that he is
being assaulted by this petitioner and other named accused
persons mobile was switched off.

4. The informant rushed to search his brother near



Konhara Ghat and saw the accused persons including this petitioner coming from Konhara Ghat on a boat. Although the other accused persons escaped, the informant succeeded in apprehending Manish Kumar and found the cloth to be wet with blood stains on the boat too. He further confessed to have killed the informant's brother and thrown the dead body in Gandak river with the help of this petitioner and other accused persons. However, despite search, the dead body could not be found, FIR was lodged.

5. In this case, a report was called for and as per the report dated 16.10.2024, charges have been framed and the trial is to be commenced.

6. The petitioner is in custody since 16.12.2022 and in that background, it would be appropriate that the trial is taken up and concluded in next six months.

7. So far as the bail part is concerned, considering the allegation that has come, no re-look is required.

8. Accordingly, the petition stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

