

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69448 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-660 Year-2018 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

=====

Pramod Paswan Son of Suresh Paswan Resident of Village - Tetariya, P.S. -
Sherghati, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sunita Devi Daughter of Krishna Prasad Resident of Village - Kachauri, P.S.
- Sherghati, District - Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kanhiya Kishor

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

- | | | |
|---|------------|---|
| 3 | 18-12-2025 | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.2. Despite valid service of notice, no one appears on behalf
of Opposite Party No. 2.3. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Complaint Case No. 660 of 2018, in which cognizance
has been taken for the offences punishable under Sections
498-A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the
Dowry Prohibition Act.4. The allegation, as per the complaint petition, is that the
marriage of the Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized |
|---|------------|---|



with the petitioner on 18.05.2013 and after sometime, the petitioner and other family members started demanding Rs. 50,000/- by way of dowry and due to non-fulfillment of the said demand, the petitioner and other family members tortured the Opposite Party No. 2 physically as well as mentally and also ousted the Opposite Party No. 2 from her matrimonial home.

5. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is still ready to keep his wife in her matrimonial home with full honour and dignity, but the complainant did not want to live with the petitioner and she has left her matrimonial home out of her own will. He next submits that the allegation levelled in the complaint petition is general and omnibus in nature.
6. After having heard learned Counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that despite valid service of notice, the complainant chose not to appear before this Court to controvert the submission made on behalf of the petitioner, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.



- 7. This application is, accordingly, allowed.
- 8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on bail, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sherghati, Gaya, in connection with Complaint Case No. 660 of 2018.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	√	T	√
---	---	---	---

