

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67419 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-243 Year-2024 Thana- MANJHI District- Saran

-
1. Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Yadav S/O Kripal Yadav Resident Of Village- Bhaluwa Bujurg, P.S.- Manjhi, Dist.- Saran
 2. Govinda Yadav S/O Kripal Yadav Resident Of Village- Bhaluwa Bujurg, P.S.- Manjhi, Dist.- Saran
 3. Vishal Yadav S/O Kripal Yadav Resident Of Village- Bhaluwa Bujurg, P.S.- Manjhi, Dist.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Parmanand Prasad
	Mr. Alok Ranjan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 26.07.2024, he along with his father were taking sheeps to the field for grazing when accused came and picked two lamb kids and started abusing, on objection Kripal and Anandi Devi assaulted by lathi and rod, thereafter Vishal



and Santosh assaulted indiscriminately by rod causing injury on head. Further, Govinda assaulted Baban by rod causing injury on head, thereafter the accused took the two lamb kids.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that Sheeps of the informant were grazing in the field of the petitioners, as such, it was objected based on which, an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is next submitted that no doubt, one of the injuries of the informant is opined to be grievous, but then, petitioners are not criminals. It is also submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-11th



Saran at Chapra in connection with Manjhi P. S. Case No.243 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

