

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62399 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-114 Year-2024 Thana- DHANGAI District- Gaya

Sanjay Yadav @ Sanjay Tiger Son of Late Jagdish Yadav Resident of village
-Lahuari PS -Barachatti District -Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 64973 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-114 Year-2024 Thana- DHANGAI District- Gaya

Ravi Paswan @ Jitendra Kumar S/O Badho Paswan Resident of Village-
Lahuari, P.S.- Barachatti, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 65130 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-114 Year-2024 Thana- DHANGAI District- Gaya

Ajay Yadav S/o Late Jagdish Yadav R/o Village - Lahuari, P.S - Barachatti,
District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 62399 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Aayush Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. Bharti Kumari, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Drishti Mohan, Adv.
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 64973 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Aayush Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. Bharti Kumari, Adv.



For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 65130 of 2025)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Aayush Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. Bharti Kumari, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 18-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Dhangai P.S. Case No. 114 of 2024 dated 24.11.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 127(2), 109, 118(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act. Later on, Section 103(1) of the B.N.S. was added.

3. As per the prosecution case, the husband of the informant had planted two thousand trees under 'Manrega Scheme' and the same was being looked after by him personally. It is further alleged that on 23.11.2024 at about 7.00 A.M., the husband of the informant went for watching the said plants and when he did not return till 10.00 A.M., then she came on the spot with meal and saw that her husband was watering the plant and one person was looking after her husband and when she inquired about him then her husband disclosed his name as Parshuram Yadav and thereafter asked her husband to have meal



upon this, he asked to wait for some time. It is further alleged that after sometime, she heard a sound of bullet and saw several persons were running towards her husband and when she reached near her husband she saw that her husband was badly injured by firearms injuries and then she started screaming then Manoj Yadav, Pramod Yadav, Sanjay Yadav (petitioner), Ajay Yadav (petitioner), Ravi Paswan (petitioner), Chhotu Yadav, Gautam Yadav and four-five unknown persons armed with pistol fled away by threatening and thereafter with the help of the villagers her husband was brought to the hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. There is no specific allegation against the petitioners rather the allegations against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. The petitioners have no concern with the alleged occurrence. The alleged occurrence took place on 23.11.2024 as to when the FIR, has been lodged on 24.11.2024 for the delay in lodging the FIR, no explanation has been given by the prosecution. The informant is not an eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. The charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioners. The



petitioner, Sanjay Yadav @ Sanjay Tiger has seven criminal antecedents, the petitioner, Ravi Paswan @ Jitendra Kumar has one criminal antecedent and the petitioner, Ajay Yadav has three criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner, Sanjay Yadav @ Sanjay Tiger is in custody since 14.05.2025, the petitioners, Ravi Paswan @ Jitendra Kumar and Ajay Yadav are in custody since 03.03.2025. The other co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated 24.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 47833/2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners by submitting that the informant's husband died during the course of treatment. Learned counsel for the informant has further submitted that the informant is an eye-witness to the alleged offence.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioners above-named, are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Sherghatti, Gaya in connection with



Dhangai P.S. Case No. 114 of 2024, with the condition:-

(i). The petitioners are directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioners are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

