

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70671 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-510 Year-2024 Thana- DAUDNAGAR District- Aurangabad

Yogendra Kumar Verma S/o Sri Jagdish Mahto @ Jagdish Prasad Verma R/o  
vill - Makhra, P.S. - Daudnagar, Distt. - Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      21-01-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest Daudnagar P.S.  
Case No. 510 of 2024, registered for offence under Sections  
292, 75 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, Section  
14 of the Sarai Act, 1867 and Sections 8, 12, 17 of the  
Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

3. As per prosecution case, on 20.08.2024 at about  
14.15 o'clock, on a secret information, the informant alongwith  
other police party conducted raid in a hotel where neither hotel  
owner nor manager was present and on search, they caught one  
boy aged about 22 years with a girl aged about 17 years and  
some used condoms were found in the room. It is further alleged  
that similarly, they caught other boys and girls on search of  
other rooms. On verification of reception register, it was found



that they were staying in that hotel unauthorizedly without any entry in register. The apprehended boys and girls also told that hotel manager gave them rooms after taking Rs. 1000/- each without making any entry in register.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely because he happens to be owner of the said hotel.

5. However, learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that apprehended boys were caught with minor girls in the hotel, which is an offence and petitioner, who is hotel owner, has got one criminal antecedent of similar nature.

6. Considering the nature of accusation and criminal antecedent of similar nature, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is rejected.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

anay

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

