

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67549 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-169 Year-2025 Thana- SURSAND District- Sitamarhi

Md. Akbar @ Md. Akbar Ansari S/O Late Kasim Ansari R/O Vill.-
Pathanpura, Ward no. 13, P.S.- Sursand, Dist.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dwij Raj

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Sursand P.S. Case No.169/2025, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 329(3), 191(2), 126(2), 115(2),
303(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2) and 109 of the B.N.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that two groups were fighting, accordingly the informant
intervened to settle the issue, on which, he was warned by the
group not to meddle, further petitioner along with other accused
came to his house and petitioner stabbed him on his neck and
left hand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that two groups were fighting when informant intervened and he was asked not to intervene in the matter, on account of which, it is being alleged falsely that petitioner came to his house and assaulted him by knife causing injury on neck and hand.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of stabbing the informant on neck, which is a vital part of the body and left hand and the injury is a sharp cut injury, which also corroborates the allegation of assault and investigation is in its nascent stages.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

