

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65300 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-427 Year-2025 Thana- GARKHA District- Saran

1. Tinka Singh @ Parvat Kumar Singh @ Parvat Kumar @ Tika Singh, Son of Sunil Kumar Singh @ Sunil Singh, Resident of Chintamanganj Parsa, P.S.- Garkha, Saran.
2. Ajeet Kumar @ Ajeet Kumar Singh, Son of Shivnarayan Singh, Resident of Chintamanganj, Parsa, P.S.- Garkha, Saran.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Shweta Anand, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Upendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, apprehending their arrest, in connection with Garkha P.S. Case No.427 of 2025, dated-07.06.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 329(3), 303(2), 118(1), 109, 115(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per allegation, both the Petitioners came to the shop of the informant on a Bullet, entered his shop and committed theft, and on protest, they assaulted the informant by knife causing injury and they also displayed gun.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the



Petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that on account of FIR lodged by the Petitioner bearing Garkha P.S. Case No.438 of 2025 was lodged for the offences punishable under Section 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 308(3), 352 & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023. The present FIR is filed by informant as a counter-blast to the FIR lodged by the Petitioners against the Informant, however, she submits that the Petitioners are cleaners and the informant demanding for Rs.40,000/- to allow them to work as cleaners in Ward No.6 and when he was not given, altercation took place.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners have not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the petition that the petitioners have been made accused in one other case each.

7. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the nature of the allegation and case and counter case between the parties, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioners above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below



within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court Below, in connection with Garkha P.S. Case No.427 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioners have any criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners after hearing them and getting satisfied that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents despite their knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

Chandan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

