

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65223 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2025 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

Ranvijay Kumar @ Ranvijay Yadav @ Laltu Yadav, S/O Tuleshwar Yadav,  
R/O Village- Thatha, Ward No.-08, P.S- Mansi, Distt.- Khagaria, State- Bihar,  
Indian, Pin- 851214.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                 |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Ranjit Jha, Advocate         |
| For the State        | : | Mr.Murli Dhar, APP              |
| For the Informant    | : | Ms. Siddiqua Abdullah, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      22-12-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP  
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 107 of 2025, registered for the alleged offences under Sections 109, 352, 115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 324(5), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, while the informant has been constructing a hut on his land, the petitioner and other co-accused persons came and started damaging the same and abused and assaulted the informant. The allegation against the petitioner is that he opened fire and one such shot hit the son of the informant in his thigh.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The occurrence took place in the background of land dispute and the parties are agnates and there was no intention to cause death. No vital injury has been caused to any person. The injury in the thigh of the son of the informant is not on the vital part of the body. In the heated atmosphere, an altercation and scuffle took place. The petitioner is in custody since 15.05.2025 and charge sheet has been submitted. The petitioner is having clean antecedent. No useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner in custody.

5. Learned APP as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant vehemently oppose the submission made on behalf the petitioner. The learned counsel for the informant submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he opened fire upon the son of the informant and there was intention to cause death.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the submission of charge sheet, period of custody of the petitioner and his clean antecedent, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Khagaria/court concerned, in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 107 of 2025, subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 480 (3) of BNSS and also the following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below.
- (iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

**(Arun Kumar Jha, J)**

V.K.Pandey/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

