

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65889 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-17 Year-2025 Thana- Chiutahan(Naxal) District- West
Champaran

=====

Dhananjay Yadav @ Dhananjay Kumar Yadav S/O Mahesh Yadav R/O
Village- Marjadpur, P.S- Chiutaha, Distt.- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Ms. Prerna Anand, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Pushpa Sinha 1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5)
of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that
marriage of his daughter was solemnized with co-accused
Sanjay Yadav as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all
the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner,
committed torture and harassment with the victim for non-
fulfillment of additional demand of dowry. It is further alleged
that on 09.04.2025 at about 8:15 AM, informant's son in law
informed him that his daughter is ill and is being treated at a



hospital. When the informant reached the hospital, he came to know that his daughter has died due to falling from a roof. Informant alleges that all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including the petitioner, have committed murder of his daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be brother-in-law (*Bhaisur*) of the deceased. Petitioner is victim of over implication. Petitioner is separate in mess and property and has got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who has already is custody since 11.04.2025. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory



bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Chiutaha P.S. Case No. 17 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

