

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5541 of 2017

1. Sanjeev Kumar and Anr Son of SRi Radha Kant Singh
2. Deo Laxmi Kuwer Wife of Late Ramchandra Pandey
3. Sanjay Kumar Pandey Son of Late Ram Sukul Pandey
4. Suresh Thakur Son of Jagdish Thakur
5. Mahendra Rai Son of Kuldip Rai
6. Ram Dulari Devi Wife of Late Ram Snajog Pandey
7. Subhadra Devi Wife of Sri Ram Binay Singh
8. Ram Ekbal Pandey Son of Late Bujhahwan Pandey
9. Surendra Rai Son of Late Methur Rai
10. Sunil Pandey Son of Late Hardev Pandey
11. Mukesh Kumar Singh Son of Late Ram Bichar Singh
12. Heera Rai Son of Late Lakhan Rai
13. Ram Babu Sah Son of Late Chabila Sah
14. Sita Ram Singh Son of Late Kuldee Singh
15. Devendra Prasad Sinha Son of Late Gajadhar Singh
16. Satyadev Singh Son of Late Baidnath Singh All Resident of Village-Paramjivan Tarajiwan, P.S. Hathauri, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Main Secretar
3. The Director Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Main Secretariat Patna.
4. The Commissioner Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Muzaffarpur.
6. The District Land Acquisition Officer Muzaffarpur.
7. Sub Divisional Officers, Muzaffarpur.
8. Block Development Officer, Muzaffarpur.
9. Circle Officer, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Devendra Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.S.C.Yadav-Gp15

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY



ORAL ORDER

8 01-09-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for grant of following reliefs:

“(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondent authorities to consider the case of the petitioners for payment of compensation of their respective lands as residential land instead of agriculture land whose land has been acquired by the respondent authorities for construction of Railway Line between Muzaffarpur Sitamarhi whereby and whereunder the committee was constituted and reports have been submitted that the lands nature are residential as well as Bhitha.

ii For direction upon the respondent authorities to treat the petitioners at par with the adjacent land of the Raiyets who are being paid the compensation for their land as residential land pursuant to their representation and spot verifications.

3. The last counter affidavit of the Respondent shows that the Collector, Muzaffarpur constituted a committee headed by the Additional Collector (Relief), Muzaffarpur and wanted them to submit report. It has been stated that the matter is premature.

4. No further development has been put on record by the respondents.

5. In that background instead of keeping the case



pending, it would be appropriate that the petitioners approach the Respondent No. 6 (The District Land Acquisition Officer, Muzaffarpur) who shall be taking up the matter and after hearing the parties, reasoned order shall be passed by the 31th March, 2026.

6. Needless to add, if the petitioners are entitled to compensation and still not paid, the same shall be cleared at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Saif/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

