

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65619 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-296 Year-2024 Thana- AWTARNAGAR District- Saran

Jahangir Alam @ Md. Jahangir S/o Mustafa Ansari R/o Village - Mathiya  
Kamalpur, P.S - Awtarnagar, District - Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mariyam Khatoon W/o Mushlim Ali R/o Village - Barbara, P.O and P.S -  
Dighwara, District - Saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                      |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Ms. Uzma Sultan, Advocate            |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, A.P.P.      |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh No. 1, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      27-11-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, informant  
and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Awtarnagar P. S. Case No. 296 of 2024 in a case registered for  
the offences punishable under Sections 143, 144 of the  
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 3/4 of the Protection of  
Children from Sexual Offences Act.

3. As per F.I.R., petitioner is alleged to have forcibly  
committed unnatural offence with the son of informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this  
case. During investigation, in the medical report, no sign of rape



was found and petitioner is in custody since 19.05.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that there is specific accusation that this petitioner committed rape with the victim and in his statement, recorded under Section 183 BNSS, victim has supported the prosecution case.

6. Considering the nature of accusation and statement of the victim, recorded under Section 183 BNSS, prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, since petitioner is in custody since 19.05.2025, Trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial, preferably, within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

Navya/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

