

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65485 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2025 Thana- DHAUDADH District- Rohtas

1. Dadan Singh S/O Late Deo Kumar R/O Vill.- Wajirganj, P.S.- Dhaudadh, Dist.- Rohtas.
2. Ujjwal Kumar S/O Dadan Singh R/O Vill.- Wajirganj, P.S.- Dhaudadh, Dist.- Rohtas.
3. Bablu Kumar S/O Dadan Singh R/O Vill.- Wajirganj, P.S.- Dhaudadh, Dist.- Rohtas.
4. Seema Kumari @ Meena Devi W/O Dadan Singh R/O Vill.- Wajirganj, P.S.- Dhaudadh, Dist.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Kant, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nitya Nand Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard Mr. Ravi Kant, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Nitya Nand Tiwary, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Dhaudadh P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 126(2),115(2),351(2),117(2),109,3(5), of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused persons named therein including the petitioners with a common intention to kill assaulted the informant and his brother, causing



injuries.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case due to land dispute. There is case and counter case between the parties, arising out of same incidence. He further submitted that due to some altercation, both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury on the persons of the informant and his brother. Petitioners have clean antecedents. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and also the fact that there is case and counter case between the parties, arising out of same incidence and due to some altercation, both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury on the persons of the informant and his brother, I am of the opinion that petitioners, who are having clean antecedents, have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.



7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtas at Sasaram / Concerned Court in connection with Dhaudadh P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

