

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65089 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-470 Year-2024 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

1. Palwendra Yadav @ Palmendra Kumar Yadav @ Palmendra Yadav S/o- Kamal Yadav Village- Kanhaili W.No-6, Ps- Narpatganj Dist- Araria
2. Mukesh Yadav @ Mukesh Kumar Yadav S/o- Shyamanand Yadav Village- Kanhaili W.No-6, Ps- Narpatganj Dist- Araria
3. Jitendra Yadav @ Jittan Yadav @ Jitendra Kumar S/o- Kamleshwari Yadav Village- Kanhaili W.No-6, Ps- Narpatganj Dist- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai , APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State .

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 191 (2), 191 (3) , 190, 115 (2), 118 (1) , 118 (2), 109, 351 (3) and 352 of the BNS and 27 of Arms Act.

3 . As per the prosecution case , informant namely , Priyanka devi alleged that on 08.09.2024 at about 11:00 AM, all the F.I.R. named accused persons including these petitioners came at the land of informant and started abusing and assaulting informant and in course of assault, petitioner Nos. 1 and 3



assaulted informant with farsa and petitioner No. 2 and co-accused Ramesh Yadav fired which hit one boy namely , Babul Kumar.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that on account of land dispute , for which several litigations are pending between both the parties, a simple occurrence of maarpeet took place between them in which both sides sustained injuries. Case and counter case . Though , there is allegation against petitioner No. 2 that he along with co-accused Ramesh Yadav fired upon one Babul Kumar but the injury report of Babul Kumar shows that he sustained a sharp cut injury which itself falsifies the allegation of firing against petitioner No. 2. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5 . Learned counsel for the State oppose the bail petition .

6 Considering the aforesaid facts, case and counter case , clean antecedent of these petitioners and other circumstances of the case , in the event of arrest or surrender within eight weeks from today, let the petitioners, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial



Magistrate Araria in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 470 of 2024 , subject to the conditions laid down under section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) , 2023 .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

