

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64314 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-162 Year-2025 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

=====

Lokesh Kumar @ Lokesh Poddar S/o Gopal Poddar Resident of Village-
Gandhinagar, Simraha Bazar, Panchayat, P.S.- Raghapur, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kamal Kishore Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2025 Heard Mr. Kamal Kishore Singh, learned counsel for

the Petitioner and Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, learned APP for the

State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with S.T.
Case No. 284 of 2025 arising out of Raghapur P.S. Case No. 162
of 2025 dated 30.04.2025 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109 and 352 of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the prosecution story, Arjun Mukhiya
(informant) states that on 29.04. 2025 at about 9:00 a.m., his
younger brother (Pawan Kumar) was unprovokedly attacked near
their house by Lokesh Poddar (petitioner) with an intention to
cause his death. The petitioner repeatedly stabbed Pawan Kumar
with a knife causing serious injuries to his chest, thigh and hand.

4. The main submissions advanced by petitioner's



counsel are that the petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, he has been languishing in jail since 18.05.2025 and the injuries found on the body of the informant have been opined to be simple in nature and no motive on the part of the petitioner in attempting to kill the informant has been established. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner's trial has started.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the seriousness of the allegation which relates to repeated knife blows by this petitioner on the vital parts of the body of the informant as supported by the victim's injury report, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail at this stage.

7. However, considering the petitioner's fair and clean antecedent and his young age, he is given a liberty to renew his bail prayer after the examination of the victim in his trial.

8. If the prosecution fails to produce the victim as prosecution witness in the trial of the petitioner in the next three months then the petitioner will also have a liberty to renew his bail prayer.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

maynaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

