

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64309 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-61 Year-2025 Thana- INDRAPURI District- Rohtas

=====

Baliram Dhobi @ Baliram Kumar S/o- Lala Dhobi @ Lala Rajak Village-
Sikariya PS-Indrapuri District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5)
of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, marriage of daughter of
informant was solemnized with this petitioner on 04.05.2018
and out of the wedlock, two sons were also born. It is alleged
that thereafter, this petitioner started demanding Rs. 5,00,000/-
for business purpose and committed torture and harassment with
daughter of informant and later on, on 29.04.2025, informant
came to know that this petitioner, along with other F.I.R. named
accused persons, killed daughter of informant by strangulation.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of the deceased. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Marriage of deceased was solemnized with this petitioner in the year 2018 and during the aforesaid period, no complaint has been made by the deceased with regard to any cruelty, torture or demand of dowry. The *post mortem* report suggests that the deceased died as a result of Asphyxia due to hanging and no marks of violence were found on the persons of the deceased. As a matter of fact, the deceased herself committed suicide. It is lastly submitted that the informant was informed about the incidence and his presence, the inquest report was prepared in which it has been stated that the death was caused due to hanging. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along with his family members, used to harass and torture the deceased due to non-fulfillment of



additional demand of dowry and subsequently, all of them have committed murder of deceased. The petitioner is husband of the deceased who died unnatural death at her matrimonial house.

6. Considering the specific and direct nature of accusation and the fact that petitioner is husband of deceased, who died unnatural death at her matrimonial house, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

