

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65845 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-399 Year-2022 Thana- PIRO District- Bhojpur

=====

Dinesh Rajbansi S/O Ramadhar Chandrabansi Resident of Village- Gochhain,
P.S.- Karakat, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 18-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Piro
P.S. Case No. 399 of 2022 instituted for the offences under
Sections 414 of the Indian Penal Code and 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of
the Arms Act.

3. Earlier vide order dated 04.12.2023 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 2204 of 2023, the prayer for grant of bail to the
petitioner was rejected by this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly submitted
that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 19.07.2022



and the trial case is fixed for appearance. Learned counsel further submitted that there is recovery of Rs. 3,30,000/- from the petitioner but a separate case bearing Piro P.S. Case No. 395 of 2022 is already lodged for the alleged offence. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has two criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Piro P.S. Case No. 399 of 2022, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.



(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

