

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65898 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-672 Year-2024 Thana- PURNEA SADAR District- Purnia

Uday Kumar Singh @ Uday Singh S/o Late Dharijhan Prasad Singh R/o
Village- Guloabbagh, Shisho Rani, Ward No. 35, P.S.- Sadar, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raj Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Lalan Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T. No.
33 of 2025 arising out of Sadar P.S. Case No. 672 of 2024
instituted for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1),
109, 352, 351(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
petitioner is that he along with other co-accused persons
inflicted repeated knife blow on the neck of the Informant's
nephew Vicky Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case.



He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the seized liquor. There is no specific or direct allegation of any overt act against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. He further submits that there is an on-going enmity between both the parties. He further submits that from perusal of the injury report of Vicky Mandal, it appears that he sustained one injury which is simple in nature caused by sharp-edged substance. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail and is languishing in judicial custody since 04.12.2024 without any rhyme or reason. He further submits that the police after completion of investigation has submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner and, thereafter, cognizance was taken and the case has also been committed before the learned Additional Sessions Judge 15th, Purnea.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody of the petitioner, there being no direct allegation against the petitioner as also taking into account the



injury caused to the Informant’s nephew is simple in nature, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with S.T. No. 33 of 2025 arising out of Sadar P.S. Case No. 672 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

