

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68615 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-57 Year-2024 Thana- AMNAUR District- Saran

=====

Pramod Pandey Son of Sunil Pandey Resident of Village - Amnour Har
Narayan, P.S.- Amnour, Distt.- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 22-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Amnour P.S. Case No. 57 of 2024 for the offence under Sections 341, 290, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant has alleged that on 09.03.2024, when he was sleeping in his house, due to previous dispute, all the F.I.R. named accused persons along with the present petitioner came in his house armed with *lathi, danda*, iron rod, *farsa* and country made pistol started abusing him. It is further alleged that they threatened him to revoke the previous case which he has filed against them. It is also alleged that the petitioner – Pramod Pandey, fired a bullet



upon the informant but he narrowly escaped from it.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to previous enmity as both the parties are co-villagers. The prosecution story is imaginary, concocted and far from the truth. The F.I.R. was lodged against the informant vide Amnour P.S. Case No. 169 of 2016 under Sections 323 and 324 of the Indian Penal Code. The allegation against the petitioner is of firing the bullet upon the informant but the same didn't hit the informant.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail.

6. From perusal of F.I.R. itself, it appears that there is previous dispute between the parties and there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and the firing allegedly made by him didn't hit anyone. Para 56 of the case diary, speaks that the antecedent of the petitioner is clean. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. – 8, Saran at Chapra, in connection with Amnour P.S. Case No. 57 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

