

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64304 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-174 Year-2025 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

-
1. Nirmala Devi w/O- Shyam Sahani Vill-Khajauli P.s- Lalganj Dist-Vaishali
 2. Shyam Sahni S/o- Late Ruplal Sahni Vill-Khajauli P.s- Lalganj Dist-Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Girish Chandra Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioners and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case

registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80, 238 and

3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of

daughter of informant was solemnized with co-accused Mahesh

Sahni in the year 2017. It is alleged that thereafter, all the F.I.R.

named accused persons, including these petitioners, committed

torture and harassment with daughter of informant and

subsequently, on 19.04.2025 at about 10 AM, informant got

information that his daughter has been killed by all the accused

persons, including these petitioners and her dead body was



thrown in river *Gandak*.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be mother-in-law and Petitioner No. 2 happens to be father-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Petitioners are victim of over implication. Thrust of accusation is against husband of the deceased. Marriage of deceased was solemnized in the year 2017 and during the aforesaid period, no complaint has been made by the deceased with regard to any cruelty, torture or demand of dowry. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that they, being the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased, along with their family members, used to harass and torture the deceased and



subsequently, all of them have committed murder of deceased and thrown her dead body in the river. The deceased died unnatural death at her matrimonial house.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of accusation, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

