

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64386 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-290 Year-2025 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

Ambuj Kumar S/O Chiranjivi Raut @ Chiranjivi Raut Resident of Village -
Adhgaon, P.S. - Nanpur, Dist. - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 64(1) of the
BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a clean antecedent and the informant alleges that
petitioner entered her house on 11.07.2025 at 10:30 p.m while
she was sleeping with her parents who are dumb and started
abusing and assaulting and tried to molest her mother. On
protest, the petitioner acted inappropriately with the informant
but she locked the petitioner in a room and raised an alarm when
Santosh Raut brother of the petitioner along with neighbours
came and opened the door and petitioner fled away. Further,
petitioner does illegal business of liquor and earlier also



molested her and her mother.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that petitioner and the informant are neighbour and are having dispute relating to passage. It is next submitted that though in the FIR, it is alleged that petitioner does business of liquor but then petitioner is a person with clean antecedent. It is also submitted that informant in the FIR alleges that earlier also petitioner molested her and her mother but then no FIR came to be instituted. It is submitted that age of the mother of the informant is 49 years while petitioner is a young boy aged about 26 years, as such, it does not appear probable that petitioner would have indulged in such an act. It is further submitted that had the petitioner been involved in the occurrence then definitely the neighbours would not have helped him as it has been alleged in the FIR that informant locked the petitioner inside the room when his brother along with neighbours came and opened the door. It is further submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 290 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. However, if the investigating officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

