

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70290 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-645 Year-2023 Thana- KRITYANAND NAGAR District-  
Purnia

=====

Bipin Kumar Sharma @ Bipin Sharma Son of Jagdish Sharma Resident of  
Village - Jagaili, Police Station - K. Nagar, District - Purnea. At present  
address - Resident of Mohalla - Kodgama, Police Station - Sri Nagar, District  
- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit , APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      08-01-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
  
State .

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for  
the offence punishable under sections 147, 149, 436, 354 (B),  
341 , 323 , 304, 307, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and  
27 of Arms Act.

3 . As per the prosecution case , on 13.11.2023 at  
about 1:00 PM when the informant was constructing his house  
on the land purchased by him, in the meantime , all the accused  
persons including this petitioner along with 30 to 40 other  
persons came there and obstructed the construction work and  
when informant and others protested , they were assaulted by



the accused persons. It is further alleged that accused persons also torn the clothes of daughter-in-law of informant and set the house of informant on fire .

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute between the parties. He further submits that during course of investigation police has not found any substantial material to corroborate the allegation attributed to the petitioner and as such no case under Section 436 and 307 is made out against this petitioner.

5 . Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R., with specific allegation that this petitioner along with other co-accused persons set the house of the informant on fire and during course of investigation all witnesses have supported the prosecution case .

6. Considering the nature of accusation and gravity of offence , prayer for pre-arrest bail of the petitioner is rejected.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

Koushik/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

