

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4737 of 2018

=====

Sri Subhash Chandra Thakur Son of Saryu Thakur, Resident of Mohalla-
Aliganj, Upper Gangati Near, Kali Mandir, P.S.- Mojahidpur, District-
Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bank Of Baroda Through Its Chairman Cum Managaing Director
Regional Zonal Officer, Bihar/Jharkhand & Orissa Zone- Anand Bihar
Building, 5th Floor, West Boring Canal Road, Patna-800001
2. The Regional Manager, Bank of Baroda, Regional Office, Purnea, Ram
Bagh Road, Line Bazar, Purnea.
3. The Branch Manager, Bank of Baroda, Bhagalpur, Branch Dr. R.P. Road,
Bhagalpur- 812001.
4. The Authorised Officer, Bank of Baroda, Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Radha Raman Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vivek Prasad, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 26-06-2025 **1.** The Learned counsel for the respondent-

Bank contended that the Writ petition itself is not

maintainable against the SARFAESI Act.

2. This Court has passed a detailed oral
order on 25.06.2025 which reads as follows:-

“1. No representation for the
petitioner.

2. On perusal of the Writ petition,
it is evident that the matter pertains to
the notice issued under SARFAESI Act.



However, for giving a fair opportunity to the Learned counsel for the petitioner for hearing, list on 26.06.2025.”

3. Inspite of it, today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, therefore, the Writ petition is dismissed for default.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

amitkr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

