

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64671 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2025 Thana- Sarbahada District- Gaya

=====

Simpi Devi @ Sempri Devi W/o Anant Singh R/o vill - Baliyari, P.S.-  
Sarbahda, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Roy, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      17-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Sabahda P.S. Case No. 20 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80, 238, 3(5) of the B.N.S. and sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

3. As per prosecution case, petitioner and others are said to have committed committed murder of the informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. It is alleged that they have concertedly extinguished the dead body on fire.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged in the first information report and he has been falsely implicated



in this case. The date of the alleged occurrence is 05.03.2025 and the present F.I.R. has been lodged on 08.03.2025 while the distance of police station is only 6 kms., but there is no explanation for the alleged delay. It is submitted that after death the parents of the deceased came in her *Sasural* and they had participated in the last rites of the deceased, as is evident from the photographs of the funeral ceremony enclosed as Annexure-2. It is further submitted that petitioner is mother-in-law of the deceased and she is residing at Mumbai with her husband and she has no say in the family affairs of the deceased and she cannot be held liable for the allegations levelled in the F.I.R. Petitioner bears clean antecedent.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping in view clean antecedent of petitioner, petitioner is residing separately having no say in the family affairs of the deceased, argument advanced on behalf of both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate IV, Gaya or his successor in connection with Sarbahda P.S. Case No. 20 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)**

mcverma/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

