

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64201 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2025 Thana- PAUTHU District- Aurangabad

1. Sikendra Rajak @ Naresh Ram S/o Lalan Rajak R/o Village- Jhinguri, PS- Pauthu, Distt- Aurangabad
2. Ankit Kumar @ Ankit Rajak S/o Sikendra Rajak R/o Village- Jhinguri, PS- Pauthu, Distt- Aurangabad
3. Shashikant Rajak @ Shashiranjana Kumar S/o Bheem Rajak R/o Village- Jhinguri, PS- Pauthu, Distt- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1), 352 and 351(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner nos. 1 and 2 are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 3 has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that he was going home on 19.06.2025 when eight named accused persons including the petitioners intercepted him



along with his son, nephew and relative and assaulted them with rod and lathi causing injury on head and chest and took out Rs. 2 Lakhs, on alarm villagers gathered when they were saved and were taken to hospital and after first aid, the FIR was instituted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that date of occurrence is 19.06.2025 and the FIR came to be instituted on 21.06.2025 when in the FIR, it is alleged that after receiving first aid the injured were discharged, but still the FIR came to be instituted after a delay of two days which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is also submitted that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioners and from the side of the petitioners Pauthu P.S. Case No. 78 of 2025 has been instituted by petitioner no. 2 against the side of the informant and others, as such, the instant FIR is a counterblast. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the injury suffered by the injured is simple in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pauthu P.S. Case No. 79 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

