

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67984 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-254 Year-2023 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Laljeet Kumar Son of Late Moti Singh Village -Sukahara Dehri , PS-
Karakat , Gorari , Dist -Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Priyanka Kumari Daughter of Surendra Singh Village- Rasulpur, Po-
Banjari, Ps- Rohtas, Dist- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Asha Devi, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 16-05-2025 Heard Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mrs. Asha Devi, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State as well learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Complaint Case No. 254 of 2023 for the offences
punishable under Sections 341, 323, 379, 498A, 504, 506 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

3. According to prosecution case, the petitioner, who
happens to be the husband of the informant assaulted and abused
the informant for demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated



in the present case merely on the ground that he is husband of the complainant. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the Complaint Petition is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the Complaint Petition.

5. Pursuant to the direction of this Court dated 02.12.2024, the matter was referred before the Mediation Centre for amicably settled the dispute between the parties. The report of the learned Mediator dated 25.04.2025 reveals that the dispute between the parties has been resolved through the process of mediation and thereafter both the parties have signed the term of settlement dated 23.04.2025.

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor as well as informant has fairly submits that in the mediation process, the dispute between the parties has been resolved.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Dehri-On-Sone, District-Rohtas in connection with Complaint Case No. 254 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section



438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/482(2) of the B.N.S.S.,
2023 and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

