

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15555 of 2025**

=====

Anirudh Sharma Son of Late Raghubir Sharma, Resident of Village- Phuchati Khurd, P.O.- Manikpur, P.S- Ekma, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Home Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
3. The Additional District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Saran at Chapra.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Ekma-II, Saran at Chapra.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Chapra, District- Saran.
7. The Circle Officer, Ekma, P.O. and P.S.- Ekma, District- Saran.
8. The Station Head Officer (SHO), Ekma Police Station, P.O. and P.S.- Ekma, District- Saran.
9. Uma Shankar Yadav, Son of Late Ramadhar Yadav, Resident of Village- Hansrajpur, P.S- Ekma, District- Saran at Chapra.
10. Vijay Kumar Singh, Son of Resident of Village- Bharhopur, P.S.- Ekma, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manoj Kuamar Yadav, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      14-10-2025                      Heard Mr. Bipin Bihari Singh, learned counsel for  
the petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the  
following relief/s:

*(i) for direction to respondent authorities for  
removal of illegal encroachment from his  
land bearing Khata No. 91, Khesra No. 82*



*area measuring |1 Katha 2 Dhur situated at Mauza Hansrajpur, P.S.- Ekma, District-Saran ignoring his rights and entitlement upon land in view of sale deed, rent receipts and continuous possession upon land since petty longtime, which attains its finality but the Private Respondents with the help of unsocial elements or on point of muscle, man power and money had encroached the land forcibly ignoring established principle of law in this regard and further prayer for compensation in view of damage caused by virtue of illegal encroachment of land in question, which is commercial in nature with litigating cost for unnecessary harassment and for other necessary relief reliefs on the basis of facts and circumstances of the case as stated, enumerated and discussed hereinafter.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the appropriate forum/Court for the redressal of the grievance.



4. Granting said liberty and if such petition is preferred, naturally the same shall be taken to its logical conclusion, the writ petition is disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

