

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66497 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2024 Thana- RAUTA District- Purnia

1. Heeralal @ Heeralal Yadav son of Late Premlal Yadav Resident of Village- Mangalpur PS- Routa Distt -Purnea
2. Lalu Pd Yadav Son of Heeralal @ Heeralal Yadav Resident of Village- Mangalpur PS- Routa Distt -Purnea
3. Rajesh Kumar Yadav @ Rajesh Kumar Son of Heeralal @ Heeralal Yadav Resident of Village- Mangalpur PS- Routa Distt -Purnea
4. Manish Kumar Son of Heeralal @ Heeralal yadav Resident of Village- Mangalpur PS- Routa Distt -Purnea
5. Geeta Devi wife of Heeralal @ Heeralal yadav Resident of Village- Mangalpur PS- Routa Distt -Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

4 15-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case in connection with Rauta P.S. Case No. 185 of 2024 dated 10.08.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 80 read with Section 3(5) of BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners and the co-accused person are alleged to have strangled the informant's niece to death.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case because the petitioners are in-laws of the deceased. It is further submitted that the petitioner no.1 is the father-in-law, the petitioner nos. 2 to 4 are the brother-in-laws, the petitioner no.5 is the mother in law of the deceased and the husband of the deceased is in jail. There is no specific allegation against the petitioners rather the allegations against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. The petitioners have no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submitted that earlier the regular bail petition of the co-accused was rejected by this Court vide order dated 22.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 14730 of 2025.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Purnea in connection with Rauta P.S. Case No. 185 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

