

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66928 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2025 Thana- BARHAT District- Jamui

-
1. Dilip Mandal S/o- Santlal Mandal Village- Paro, P.S.- Barhat District-Jamui
 2. Pinku Mandal @ Brajmohan Prasad Mandal @ Braj Mohan Prasad S/o- Santlal Mandal Village- Paro, P.S.- Barhat District-Jamui
 3. Mantu Mandal @ Chandramohan Prasad Mandal @ Chandra Mohan Prasad S/o- Santlal Mandal Village- Paro, P.S.- Barhat District-Jamui
 4. Shubham Kumar S/o- Mantu Mandal @ Chandramohan Prasad Mandal Village- Paro, P.S.- Barhat District-Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kameshwar Mandal Village- Paro, P.S.- Barhat, District- Jamui

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Anand
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that on account of land dispute with the accused persons including the petitioners, he was called near the shop of Arun



Kumar at Paro Crossings for resolving the dispute, accordingly the informant went to the place of occurrence, when he was assaulted by the accused persons injuring him, further Mantu started strangulating him, while Dilip assaulted by leg on his private parts and Subham snatched his gold chain, further villagers came and he was taken to hospital from where he was referred to PMCH.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of dispute relating to land. It is next submitted that petitioners and the informant are related and are having dispute relating to the property. It is further submitted that even allegation of assault is not specific and the informant did not appear before the medical board, as recorded in the order impugned, which amply demonstrates that only to settle score with the informant and her side, the instant false case came to be instituted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court



within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Barhat Thana P.S. Case No. 77 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

