

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64828 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-152 Year-2024 Thana- KATHAIYA District- Muzaffarpur

1. Jumratan Khatoon @ Jumratani Khatoon W/O Md. Islam Resident of Village- Pyarepur, P.S.- Kathaiya, Dist.- Muzaffarpur
2. Md. Islam @ Md. Islam Miya S/O Ishu Mohammad Resident of Village- Pyarepur, P.S.- Kathaiya, Dist.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Jyoti Kumari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his daughter was solemnized with co-accused Md. Shagir as per Muslim rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, committed torture and harassment with the victim for non-fulfillment of additional demand of dowry. It is further alleged



that on 23.07.2024, informant got information that all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, have committed murder of his daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be mother-in-law and Petitioner No. 2 happens to be father-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are victim of over implication. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who has already is custody since 23.06.2025. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of



anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M. (West), Muzaffarpur in connection with Kathaiya P.S. Case No. 152 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

