

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15395 of 2025

=====

Ramchandra Jha Son of Late Maheshwar Jha Resident of Mohalla- Santnagar,
Ward No.- 35, Gangjala, P.S. and District- Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna-800015.
2. The Addl. Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
5. The Examination Controller (Misc.), Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raja Ram Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, AG
		Mr. Gyan Shankar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 04-11-2025 The present writ application has been filed for the
following reliefs:

i) To quash the instruction for Examination of STET (in short Secondary Teacher Eligibility Test) 2024 issued 13 under Advertise no.442/2023 dated- 13-12-2023 as the reservation policy of the Government has been implemented for qualifying marks in the Teachers Eligibility Test not permitted under law.

ii) To issue direction upon the Respondents to issue Fresh instructions and Guidelines for STET without implication of Reservation policy in qualifying marks as the test is for profession of teaching and the test is not a competitive examination.

(iii) To issue a writ of Mandamus declaring



all the activity under the instruction contained in Advertisement no.442/2023 unconstitutional and illegal.

(iv) To issue other proper writ/order/direction/orders to the ends of justice.

2. The petitioner, by way of a Public Interest Litigation, has challenged the Advertisement No. 442/2023 dated 13.12.2023, issued for participating in the Secondary Teachers Eligibility Test, 2024 (for brevity “STET”). None of the applicants or the aspirants of the said examination is before this Court, nor the petitioner himself is the applicant/aspirant of the said examination.

3. In such circumstances, we are of the considered view that the petitioner has no *locus standi* to challenge the advertisement, as he is not a participant therein.

4. The writ application stands dismissed.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Anushka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

