

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16903 of 2015

=====

Hemkant Chaudhary, son of Late Pratap Narayan Chaudhary, resident of Village - Hirnee, Post - Kusheshwar Asthan, Police Station - Kusheshwar Asthan, District - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga.
4. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
5. The District Education Officer, Darbhanga.
6. The Anchal Adhikari, Kusehswar Asthan, Darbhanga.
7. Ganga Kamti son of Balo Kamti
8. Kali Sahu son of Kuji Sahu
9. Lal Jha son of Kedar Jha
10. Gulab Chaudhary son of Kama Narayan Chaudhary
11. Ram Bilas Jha son of Dahkkan Jha
12. Dhurla Kamti son of Kanteer Kamti
13. Bhadai Kamti son of Tarachand Kamti 7 to 13 all are residents of Village - Hirnee, Post - Kusehswar Asthan, Police Station - Kusehswar Ashtan, District - Darbhanga.
14. Usman Mian son of Isub Mian
15. Md. Abbas Mian son of Liyaquat Mian Both 14 and 15 residents of village - Bhadaul, Post - Kusheshwar Asthan, Police Station - Kusehswar Asthan, District - Darbhanga.
16. Ram Kripal Ram son of Swaroop Ram
17. Kunkun Ram son of Ram Kripal Ram
18. Heera Ram son of Ram Kripal Ram
19. Sanat Kumar Jha son of Kulanand Jha
20. Parmeshwar Jha son of Kulanand Jha 16 and 20 are residents of village - Harauli, Post - Kusheshwar Asthan, Police Station - Kusehswar Ashtan, Dsitric - Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Alok Kumar, Advocate Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 03-04-2025 Heard Mr. Alok Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Sarvesh Kumar, learned A.A.G.-13 for State.

2. In view of the counter affidavit which was filed on
behalf of the Anchal Adhikari, Kusheshwar Asthan, Darbhanga
(respondent no. 6) suggests that the encroachment over the land
in question has been removed by the authority concerned on
20.08.2016.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in
view of the aforesaid, the grievance of the petitioner has been
redressed, so the writ petition becomes infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands
disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U			
---	--	--	--