

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70958 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-308 Year-2024 Thana- GOPALGANJ TOWN District-
Gopalganj

=====

Naveen Chandra @ Naveen Chandra Singh S/o Sri Sudist Kumar Singh
Resident of Village- Sukulwan Khurd, PS- Gopalganj, Distt. - Gopalganj , AT
present Vill- Marwari Mohalla P.S and Dist - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shiv Sager Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pranav Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

- 424-01-2025
1.

Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.
2.

The accused/petitioner is named in F.I.R. and apprehending his arrest in connection with Gopalganj (Town) P.S. Case No. 308/2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3.

The allegation against petitioner is to have in possession of forged seals, stamps and court fees etc. with allegation that petitioner alongwith other co-accused persons were involved in cheating and also in preparation of forged



documents which caused a substantial revenue loss to the government.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a practicing advocate since 2001 in Gopalganj District Court. It is further submitted that house in issue is a joint property and the office from which the alleged seals and court fees was recovered was given on rent. It is submitted that implication of this petitioner with this case was for only reason that he is one of the coparcenor of the house in issue, where crime in question was alleged to be taken place. It is further pointed out by learned counsel that during search, compliance of Section 100(4) Cr.P.C. not appears to be followed. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, and by taking note of fact as *prima facie* implication of the petitioner, who is a practicing advocate of the District Court since 2001 *prima facie* for the reason that



he is one of the coparcenor of the alleged house accordingly, above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks of the order, are directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gopalganj/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Gopalganj (Town) P.S. Case No. 308/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

