

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64161 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9218 Year-2023 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Md. Adil Khan Md. Montaz khan R/o village - Shahri, P.O - Gotpa, P.S -
Karakat, District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Shama Pravin W/o Adil Khan D/o Abdul Mannan Khan R/o Mohalla - Churi
Gali, Bakarganj, Imambara, P.O - Bankipur, P.S - Pirbahore, District - Patna
Permanent R/o - Sahari Manzil, Madina Gali, Naya Tola, Isha Nagar,
Phulwarisharif, P.S - Phulwarisharif, District - Patna, Pin - 801105

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Shashi Kant, Adv.
For the informant	:	Md. Farooque Ahmad Khan, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Ramchandra Sahni, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 07-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. Earlier the petitioner was granted provisional bail
vide order dated 17.09.2025 and learned counsel for the petitioner
seeks confirmation of the provisional bail granted to the petitioner.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Complaint Case No. 9218/2023 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 498A of the Indian Penal Code
and Section 4 of the D.P. Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the
co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the complainant



mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is the husband of the complainant and he has no concern with the alleged offence. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents in which he is on bail as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the confirmation of the provisional bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 17.09.2025.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 17.09.2025 is hereby confirmed in connection with Complaint Case No. 9218 (C)/2023 to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Patna.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

