

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69941 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2012 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

Sanjay Yadav Son of Bharath Yadav Resident of Village- Bampur, P.S.-
Pandarak, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Premchandra Yadav, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajiv Nayan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 20-06-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. Earlier the
bail application of the petitioner was rejected *vide* order dated
29.02.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 78839 of 2023.

3. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
for the offence under Sections 384, 341, 326, 307, 120(B), 34 of
the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

4. The following order was passed on 29.02.2024 in
Cr. Misc. No. 78839 of 2023 :-

Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. This application for grant of regular
bail arises out of Pandarak P.S. Case No.91 of 2012
registered for the offence punishable under Sections
384, 341, 326, 307, 120- B/34 of the Indian Penal
Code and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.



3. It is alleged that petitioner and others have demanded extortion (rangdari) from the informant and on nonfulfillment of said demand, they have fired which hit the informant. The petitioner is in custody since 26.04.2023. He is also accused in three serious criminal cases.

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in this case other accused persons have been granted bail by the Court below.

5. Considering the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner and also the criminal antecedents of the petitioners, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of regular bail for the present. Accordingly, this bail application is rejected.

6. Call for the bail orders of accused persons in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No.91 of 2022 from the concerned Court below.

7. List this case after three weeks under the heading “For Orders”.

5. This application has become infructuous as the trial has been concluded.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

