

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68908 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-359 Year-2024 Thana- BAHERI District- Darbhanga

1. Khushbu Kumari Daughter of Kanhai Yadav Resident of village -Hari Nagar Gujrauli PS -Baheri District -Darbhanga.
2. Sudama Devi Wife of Kanhai Yadav Resident of village -Hari Nagar Gujrauli PS -Baheri District -Darbhanga.
3. Kanhai Yadav Son of Late Upender yadav Resident of village -Hari Nagar Gujrauli PS -Baheri District -Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Verma, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3      04-11-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case in connection with Baheri P.S. Case No. 359 of 2024 dated 13.10.2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80 and 85 read with Section 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners and other co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the informant mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. It is further alleged that on 13.10.2024, informant received information that her daughter has been



killed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner no. 1 is the sister-in-law, petitioner no. 2 is the mother-in-law and petitioner no. 3 is the father-in-law of the deceased. The petitioners neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the informant. The allegation levelled in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioners have not committed any such offence as alleged in the FIR. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioners, let the petitioners named-above, in the event of their arrest / surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction



of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VIII,  
Darbhanga, in connection with **Baheri P.S. Case No. 359 of  
2024**, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482(2).

7. This application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Shahnawaz/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

