

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13218 of 2018**

=====

Bal Krishna Yadav Son of Late Fuleshwar Yadav Resident of Village -  
Chandaur East, Police Station - Sour Bazar, District - Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Chairman Cum Managing Director, Bihar State Power Holding Company Ltd.
2. The North Bihar Power Distribution Co. Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, North Bihar Power Distribution Co. Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Electrical Superintending Engineer, Electric Supply Circle, Saharsa.
5. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                   |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Pranav Kumar, Adv.            |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Adv.       |
|                      |   | Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, Addl. Sc. |
|                      |   | Mr. Sudarshan Bhardwaj, Adv.      |
|                      |   | Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Adv            |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA  
CHAKRAVARTHY**

ORAL ORDER

2      08-07-2025                      1. The Writ petition is filed to quash the Letter No. 169 dated 23.08.2014 through which final assessment has been made under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, without considering the representation filed by the petitioner and he was directed to pay an amount of Rs. 4,78,224/-.

2. It is reported by the Learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is no more and the letter dated 23.08.2014 issued by the



authorities is against the petitioner. However, a criminal case was registered against the petitioner under Section 135 of the Electricity Act as it is relating to theft of electricity.

3. In criminal cases, on the death of the accused the criminal case stands abated. If at all the respondent authorities have any grievances, they shall issue fresh notice against the legal heirs of the petitioner for recovery, which is always open for the respondent authorities. Until and unless there is no surviving cause of action against the legal heirs of the petitioner, the Writ petition shall stand closed.

**(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)**

Manish/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

