

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64289 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-143 Year-2025 Thana- BHORE District- Gopalganj

Anil Kumar Ram @ Anil Ram S/o Ram Naresh Ram Resident of Village -
Kabe, P.S. - Bhore, District - Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijay Prakash Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2),
126(2), 76, 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that her husband works at Delhi and she stays with her
children at home, further the petitioner on several occasions
acted inappropriately with her, but on intervention of Mukhiya
and Sarpanch, the issue was resolved. It is next alleged that on
5-11-2024, the petitioner again acted inappropriately with her,
as such she went to the house of the petitioner to complain when
named family members of the petitioner abused and assaulted



her, as such she went to the police station and made a complaint but the issue was resolved on intervention of the police. It is further alleged that she had gone to attend nature's call on 17-3-2025 at 8 a.m. when petitioner caught her hand and attempted to commit rape, on alarm he fled, thereafter police was informed. It is next alleged that after some time, family members of the petitioner came and abused her and Mukesh assaulted her by *Gadasa* causing injury and threatened her not to institute a case.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant alleges that the petitioner is her neighbor and *patidar*. It is further submitted that though there is allegation that petitioner acted inappropriately on several occasions, but then no case came to be instituted. It is also submitted that since petitioner and the husband of the informant are having dispute relating to property, as such he came to be implicated in the instant case. It is next submitted that the date of occurrence is 17-3-2025 and the FIR came to be instituted on 25-3-2025, i.e., after a delay of eight days, when in the FIR, it is alleged that police on the date of occurrence was informed, but still the FIR



was not instituted on the same date, i.e., 17-3-2025, which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bhore P.S. Case No. 143 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

