

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62824 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-155 Year-2024 Thana- SHANKARPUR District- Madhepura

1. Anil Rishidev S/o Baiju Rishidev @ Bhejo Rishidev @ Bhaiju Rishidev R/o - Bagbiyani, Gidha, Ward No.07, P.S - Shankarpur, District - Madhepura
2. Saaniya Devi @ Saniya Devi W/o Fulen Rishidev R/o - Bagbiyani, Gidha, Ward No.07, P.S - Shankarpur, District - Madhepura
3. Nirmala Devi W/o Bhogilal Rishidev R/o - Bagbiyani, Gidha, Ward No.07, P.S - Shankarpur, District - Madhepura
4. Fulchand Rishidev @ Fulchan Rishidev S/o Sukrati Rishidev @ Kiran @ Kiran Rishidev R/o - Bagbiyani, Gidha, Ward No.07, P.S - Shankarpur, District - Madhepura
5. Kajal Devi W/o Santosh Rishidev @ Sada @ Santosh Sada R/o - Bagbiyani, Gidha, Ward No.07, P.S - Shankarpur, District - Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dr Sanjay Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sumiran Rai

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 17-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing vehemently for some time realising his difficulty, seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to petitioner No. 1, Anil Rishidev.
3. Permission is accorded.
4. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is dismissed as withdrawn with respect to petitioner No. 1, Anil



Rishidev.

5. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 119(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 110, 132, 134, 303(2), 121(2), 352, 351(2)(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner nos. 2, 3 and 5 are women, and the informant alleges that Pramod called on 112 and informed that his mobile has been snatched by Anil and Arjun, accordingly informant along with the police force, reached the place of occurrence and thereafter went to the house of Arjun and started inquiring from Arjun when Anil came and started shouting, thereafter 40-50 male and female accused gathered variously armed, and when police personnel started videography of the gathering, the same was objected, and the mobile was snatched, and the accused started assaulting indiscriminately the police force and also assaulted Ram Bhajan, who came to save the informant, and tore his dress and started threatening that a case under SC/ST Act shall be instituted, further when additional force came, accused fled leaving the snatched mobile.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by



the informant. It is next submitted that thrust of the allegation is against Anil and Arjun. It is further submitted that petitioners are residents of the same area and when a ruckus was created, they came out of their house to witness the occurrence, as such they came to be implicated. It is also submitted that no specific allegation is alleged against the petitioners. It is submitted petitioners will not have abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

9. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner No. 2, 3, 4 and 5, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Shankarpur P.S. Case No. 155 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

10. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the



learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioners, despite giving assurance to this Court, are not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

11. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

