

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69171 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-228 Year-2023 Thana- GURUA District- Gaya

The State of Bihar Through Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

Madhu Clinic, Dr. Anil Kumar S/O Ram Tilak Prasad R/O Village- Sriram
Bigha, P.S- Gurua, Distt.- Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 17-06-2025 The present application for cancellation of anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the State of Bihar through the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya and in the present case, despite issuance of notice to the opposite party and also having taken all steps for a valid service of notice on behalf of learned counsel appearing for the State of Bihar, nobody appears on behalf of the opposite party in the present proceedings.

2. It has been pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that vide order dated 20.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 21767 of 2024, the opposite party, Madhu Clinic, Dr. Anil Kumar was granted the privilege of anticipatory bail on the consideration that the petitioner is a doctor and the same has been specifically recorded in paragraph 4 of the said order. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner further invites the



attention of the Court to an order dated 21.08.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 14637 of 2024 whereby learned APP for the State, Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, was directed to file an application to cancel the bail bond of the present opposite party, Madhu Clinic, Dr. Anil Kumar on the ground that he had made a wrong submission that he is a doctor. Further, it is submitted that an inquiry was conducted at the level of the Senior Superintendent of Police and the said inquiry report which has been annexed as Annexure-4 to the present application clearly shows that no valid proof or certificate has been produced by the persons under inquiry which includes Dr. Ram Pukar Prasad Rahi and Madhu Clinic, Dr. Anil Kumar, who is the present opposite party. It is also submitted that they are wrongly using the prefix of doctor against their names for cheating the people in order to earn money.

3. Taking in view all the facts and circumstances and also the enquiry report and the orders of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the present petition for cancellation of anticipatory bail of the opposite party is allowed and the police is directed to take steps to take the opposite party into custody.

4. The present order may be immediately communicated to the concerned Court so that the bail bonds of the opposite



party is cancelled and consequent thereupon, the police may
take the subsequent action.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

