

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63672 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-126 Year-2024 Thana- ASHTHAWAN District- Nalanda

Sonal Kumar S/O Ranjeet Prasad R/O Vill.- Gopichak, P.S.- Kusumbha,
District- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Bharti

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Mushtaque Alam

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Asthawan
P.S. Case No.126/2024 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 399 and 402 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant alleges
that Amit along with other accused persons including the
petitioner assembled near Asthawan bypass, in order to commit
loot, as disclosed by the apprehended accused Rahul Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the
informant. It is next submitted that he was not arrested from the
spot and Amit Kumar has been granted the privilege of



anticipatory bail by an order dated 24.04.2024 in Cr. Misc. No.2325/2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that allegations are serious, investigation is continuing and Amit, who was granted the privilege of anticipatory bail has antecedent of one case and was on bail in that case, whereas in the instant case, petitioner has antecedent of two cases and is on bail in one case. It is further submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner and the petitioner goes to surrender for seeking anticipatory bail, in that event also, he will be arrested. It is further submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

