

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67108 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2340 Year-2023 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Samastipur

=====

Randhir Kumar Son of Dinesh Poddar R/O Vill.- Mohamadpur Birauli,
Gadhiya, P.S.- Pusa, Dist.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Neha Kumari Wife of Randhir Kumar, D/o Chandrabhushan Poddar R/O Vill.- Mohamadpur Birauli, Gadhiya, P.S.- Pusa, Dist.- Samastipur. At present address, R/O Vill.- Bakhari Bajar, Ward no. 13, P.S.- Bakhari, Dist.- Begusarai.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhirendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 16-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the complainant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code who is the husband of the complainant.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of demand of dowry and torture inflicted upon the complainant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation made in the complaint petition are not correct. He further submits that the marriage has taken place in the year 2013 after which the petitioner provided financial and mental



support to the complainant to get B.Ed. degree which she got in the year 2015-17. It has also been contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there was a change in the behavior of the complainant and situations so happened that he has filed a divorce case vide Divorce Case No. 87 of 2022 which has been annexed as Annexure-P/2 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the complainant vehemently oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail and also oppose the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner by stating that the petitioner has committed atrocity on the complainant and even now he is causing damage to her reputation socially as he still engages in scandalizing her publicly.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the rival contentions, I am inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Let the above named petitioner in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with



Complaint Case, C.R. Case No. 2340 of 2023, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. and further condition that he would never pose any harm to the prestige and reputation of the complainant and would never scandalize her in any manner.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

