

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67561 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2013 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

=====

Jairam Giri @ Jayram Giri @ Jai Ram Giri Son of Shri Vinod Giri R/o
Village- Anjani, P.O.- Bara Sikaria, P.S.- Sikaria, District- Jehanabad, (Bihar)
804454

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Pratima Devi W/o Sri Jairam Giri R/o Village- Anjani Math, P.S.-
Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad. At Present residing at Village- Mithapur,
P.O.- Kabar, P.S.- Aanti, District- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Aaruni Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

- 9 14-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State. No one appears on behalf of opposite party
no.2.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Gaya Complaint Case no.234 of 2013 registered under
Sections 498A, 323, 379 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 3/4 of the D.P. Act.
3. The case is one under Section 498A of the I.P.C
and petitioner is the husband. The allegation against the
petitioner is of demand of dowry and torture.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The allegation of demand of dowry and torture is false and concocted. Despite valid service of notice to the opposite party no. 2, she has chosen not to appear in the case. He further submits that opposite party no.2 has deserted the petitioner and the petitioner has never treated her with any cruelty. Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of the Court with regard to paragraph no. 21 of the petition that opposite party no. 2 has remarried without taking divorce with the petitioner with one Binod Giri, S/o Mukhlal Giri, R/o Dhabai, P.S.-Gouh, District- Aurangabad. It is precisely due to this reason she has not appeared in the present proceedings. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to co-operate in the case/trial.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Gaya Complaint Case no.234 of 2013 on



furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrat,Gaya, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

