

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63559 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-245 Year-2023 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

Mohammad Rizwan Son of Mehdi Hasan R/o Village - Asgaripur, P.S. -
Didauli Dist. - Jyotishafulenagar Amroha, State - U.P.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Shekhar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 05-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kanti P.S. Case No. 245 of 2023 dated 04.04.2023 for the offences punishable u/ss 30(a), 32(ii)(iii), 36 and 41(i)(ii) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 2563.125 illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the container. The petitioner and the co-accused, Md. Ajim were caught and they disclosed the name of the co-accused, Santosh Sahni.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the



bail petition. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by the co-accused person. The petitioner is the owner of the seized vehicle but he has no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The co-accused persons have already been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated 25.05.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 35320/2023. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the



petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Muzaffarpur in connection with Kanti P.S. Case No. 245 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

