

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63185 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2024 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Santosh Paswan Son of Ram Lal Paswan R/O Vill- Mukundpur Singhara,
P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priyesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Narendra Kumar Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that earlier the bail application of this petitioner was rejected by this Court vide order dated 20.01.2025 with the liberty to renew the prayer for bail after six months.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that a report from the learned trial court was also called for regarding stage of trial and from perusal of that report it transpires that only charges have been framed against the petitioner.

4. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302 & 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.



5. The case of the prosecution in short is that the daughter of the informant, namely, Gunjan Kumari was married to this petitioner in the year 2015. After some days of marriage, the husband and father-in-law demanded motorcycle and Rs.1,00,000/- cash and for that she was being subjected to cruelty. On 19.03.2024, her in-laws and others killed and concealed the dead body.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the FIR it is clear that main thrust of allegation was against the in-laws. It has also been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not present at the house on that day and the only role attributed to the petitioner was that he has hatched the plan. The petitioner is in custody since 11.07.2024 and till date only charges have been framed. The petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.

7. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail to the petitioner.

8. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail with a condition that the petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall appear in the court whenever required. The above named petitioner is directed



to be released on bail in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 139 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

