

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63182 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-445 Year-2025 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

Shivam Kumar @ Shivam Kumar Singh S/o Mr. Mithun Singh @ Mithun
Kumar Singh R/o vill - Sonpur, ward no. 12, P.s.- Sonpur, Distt.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur Ms. Vaishnavi Singh Mr. Ritwik Thakur
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Sonapur P.S. Case No. 445 of 2025 registered for the offence
under Sections 85, 80, 61(2) of the BNS, 2023 and under
Section $\frac{3}{4}$ of the D.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation
against the petitioner and other accused persons is that the
deceased has been killed by the accused persons for demand of
dowry etc.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 9.5.2025. 5.
Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner



was carrying pregnancy and she was being treated in Kurji Holy Family Hospital and while under treatment at Siwangi Nursing Home, Hazipur, she died. He further submits that from the Post-mortem report, it appears that there is no external injury on the body of the deceased and the opinion about the death is due to hemorrhage, shock and ectopic pregnancy.

5. Learned counsel for the informant has vehemently opposed the application of the petitioner for grant of bail and has submitted that the petitioner does not deserve bail as he, being the husband has not informed the family of the deceased in time.

6. Considered the submission of the parties.

7. The first and foremost duty of the petitioner was to take his wife to the hospital which was done by him and whether he informed the family members of the deceased or not is a question which cannot be decided at the stage of bail.

8. From the medical reports annexed with the bail petition, in my opinion, the petitioner deserves bail.

9. Accordingly, this application is allowed.

10. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran, Chhapra/concerned
Court below in connection with Sonapur P.S. Case No. 445 of
2025.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

