

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67982 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2025 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

Md. Munna @ Md. Azam @ Munna S/o Sheikh Yunus Resident of Village-
Semra Belbatia, Police Station-Tukauliya, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Meena Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 113 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 308(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleges that when he was strolling in front of his house, the accused person along with ten unknown persons came and surrounded the informant and demanded ransom of Rs. 1 lakh and the petitioner fired at him with his pistol but it missed him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this



case only on the basis of false, baseless and concocted allegation. He further submits that the the present case has been lodged by the informant to pressurize the co-accused Syed Badarudoza and his family members for securing compromise in connection with Pipra Kothi P.S. case no. 78/2025. From the bare perusal of the F.I.R it is evident that the allegations against the petitioner are vague and omnibus in nature. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner of causing any injury to any person. There are no independent eye witnesses who have supported the prosecution story. The entire prosecution story is absurd and improbable. He further submits that the matter has been compromised between both the parties and it is simply a case and counter case. The petitioner has six criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and considering the period under custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, East



Champaran, Motihari in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No.
113 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) Petitioner will remain physically present in trial on
each and every date till the disposal of the case failing which, on
two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of
the petitioner may be cancelled by the Trial Court.

(ii) One of the bailor shall be his family member.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

