

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64030 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-170 Year-2025 Thana- DESARI District- Vaishali

=====

Devanand Singh Son of Ramprit Singh R/o Village - Parasauniya, P.S. -
Mahua Distirct - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suruchi Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar- A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in Industrial Desari P. S. Case No.170 of 2025 registered
for the offences punishable under Section 109 of the B.N.S. and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant
alleges that petitioner had given loan to him about 3-4 years ago
and was pressurizing to return the same. Further, on 18.05.225,
while he was coming to his home at 7.00 P.M. when petitioner
fired causing firearm injury on right side of lower back.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant himself alleges that petitioner had given loan to him and he was asking for his money back, but the same could not be returned and thereafter the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is next submitted that it does not stand to reason that as to why the petitioner would have fired at the informant being aware of the fact that he would be implicated instantly.

5. Learned A.P.P. Sri Rabindra Kumar opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that there is a specific allegation against this petitioner of firing causing firearm injury to the informant and investigation of the case is in its nascent stages.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

