

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63273 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-190 Year-2025 Thana- KATEYA District- Gopalganj

1. Kritika Kumari @ Kritika Kumari Patel D/o Rajesh Patel @ Rajesh Prasad R/o Village- Sohagpur, P.S. - Hathua, District- Gopalganj
2. Praveen Kumar @ Praveen Kumar Patel @ Praveen Patel S/o Rishikesh Prasad R/o Village- Sohagpur, P.S. - Hathua, District- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Devika Rani Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 10-12-2025 Heard Mrs. Devika Rani, learned counsel for the petitioners
and Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Kateya P.S Case No. 190 of 2025 for the offence registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 352, 109 and 3(5) of B.N.S lodged on 09.04.2025 by the informant, Aashu Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, informant alleged that while he was returning home near the bridge, the accused persons surrounded and allegations against these petitioners is of giving knife blow causing injury to him. The informant was rushed to sadar hospital for treatment and has to move to Paras hospital Patna whereafter the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



exaggerated allegation is there even the lady has been implicated and learned sessions judge order shows that it is simple in nature. Further, the submission is that without accepting the allegation and/or the outcome of the present petition in view of the fact that the informant side has alleged injuries, the petitioners on its own would like to contribute towards the medical assistance of Rs. 5,000/- each (totalling Rs. 10,000/-) through Demand Draft issued by the local branch of the State Bank of India/any Nationalized Bank to be submitted before the 'NAZARAT' of concerned court to be handed over to the informant after checking the credentials.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail submitting that allegation of knife assault is on both the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties, as also the fact that they have no criminal antecedent, no second blow has been given, the injury has been found to be simple in nature, in that background, this Court is inclined to grant them the anticipatory bail with conditions subject to payment of Rs. 5,000/- each (totalling Rs. 10,000/-) to the informant as undertaken by the learned counsel for the petitioners to be paid by Demand Draft of local branch of the State of India/any Nationalized Bank to be submitted to the Trial Court.

7. Let the petitioners in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order,



shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-VI, Gopalganj in connection with Kateya P.S Case No. 190 of 2025, subject to the following conditions.

(i) one of the bailor should be the family members/relatives of the petitioners, who shall provide official document to show his bona fide.

(ii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the trial court itself;

(iii) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(iv) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vashudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

