

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63632 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-30 Year-2025 Thana- BATIYA District- Jamui

=====

Sachidanand Verma S/o Dayanand Verma @ Dayanand Prasad Verma
Resident of Village- Chewara, P.S.- Chewara, District- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Raj, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nagendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4),
308(5) and 119(1) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that in a viral video, the Rural *Awas* Assistant i.e.
petitioner was seen taking Rs. 500/- from a woman namely
Baby Devi of Sono Block.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in
sum and substance, the allegation is that in the viral video, the
petitioner was seen taking bribe of Rs. 500/-. It is submitted that
petitioner was working as Rural *Awas* Assistant since 2014 and



had an unblemished service record. It is further submitted that no doubt in the viral video, the petitioner was seen with a note of Rs. 500/- being given by Baby Devi, but then whether it was in form of bribe or the video was manipulated, is an aspect of investigation. It is also submitted that the authenticity of the video, till date, has not been examined by a competent lab. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then giving and taking bribe is both an offence, but then Baby Devi is not an accused in the FIR. It is also submitted that contractual appointment of petitioner stands terminated. The learned counsel asserts and submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case



is pending/successor court in connection with Batiya P.S. Case No. 30 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

