

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65954 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1714 Year-2015 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

=====

Sheo Shankar Prasad Son of Ramdeo Prasad R/o Village - Kalyanpur, Badki
Delha, P.S. - Delha, District -Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Smt. Nutan Devi W/o Sri Abhimanyu Kumar Singh R/o Village and Post -
Fag, P.S. - Goh, Dist. - Aurangabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Brijmohan Das, Advocate Mr. Binod Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 23-09-2025 Heard Mr. Brijmohan Das, learned counsel appearing
on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Umeshanand Pandit,
learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a complaint
case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 467,
468, 471, 420 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that this
petitioner, in collusion with other accused persons, executed
more than one sale deed with respect to the same piece of land
to complainant and one Lal Pari Devi.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has



committed no offence. Petitioner is neither vendor nor vendee. As a matter of fact, original owner of land, namely Shiv Shankar Singh, had given power of attorney to one Hirdaya Kumar Sinha on 10.08.2009 with regard to the land appertaining to Khata No. 315 and Plot No. 2630. The aforesaid land was sold by Hirdaya Kumar Sinha to two persons i.e. the complainant and wife of this petitioner, namely Lal Pari Devi and as such, petitioner has not committed any offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of Lal Pari Devi. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Gaya in connection with Complaint Case No. 1714 of 2015, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

